UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/554,974	03/02/2006	Toshiyuki Takagi	DAISAN126511	9465	
	7590 07/07/200 N, O'CONNOR, JOHN	EXAMINER			
1420 FIFTH AVENUE			WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E		
SUITE 2800 SEATTLE, WA	x 98101-2347		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/554,974	Į.	TAKAGI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit		
		KEVIN WE	DDINGTON	1614		
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATU WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be avai after SIX (6) MONTHS from the If NO period for reply is specific - Failure to reply within the set or	TORY PERIOD FOR REI ER, FROM THE MAILING lable under the provisions of 37 CFR mailing date of this communication, d above, the maximum statutory per extended period for reply will, by state later than three months after the man See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	EDATE OF THI R 1.136(a). In no ever riod will apply and will atute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION It, however, may a reply be tire expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
2a)⊠ This action is FIN . 3)□ Since this applica	mmunication(s) filed on <u>15</u> AL . 2b)☐ T tion is in condition for allow nce with the practice unde	This action is now	or formal matters, pro		e merits is	
Disposition of Claims						
4a) Of the above of 5) ☐ Claim(s) is, 6) ☑ Claim(s) 25,31,33 7) ☐ Claim(s) is, 8) ☐ Claim(s) ar	and 36 is/are rejected.	drawn from con	sideration.			
Application Papers						
10) The drawing(s) file Applicant may not re Replacement drawin	s objected to by the Examed on is/are: a) a sequest that any objection to the sheet(s) including the contaction is objected to by the	accepted or b)[the drawing(s) be rection is require	held in abeyance. Sed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pat 3) Information Disclosure State Paper No(s)/Mail Date	ent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Claims 25, 31, 33 and 36 are presented for examination.

Applicants' amendment and response filed April 15, 2009 have been received and entered.

Accordingly, the rejection made under <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting over claims 41, 43-47, 57, 59 and 60 of copending Application No. 10/555,076 as set forth in the previous Office action dated December 4, 2008 at pages 2-3 as applied to claims 13, 25, 33, 36 and 37 is hereby withdrawn because the applicants filed a terminal disclaimer.

Accordingly, the rejection made under 35 USC 112, first paragraph (New Matter) as set forth in the previous Office action dated December 4, 2008 at pages 3-4 as applied to claims 13, 25, 31, 33 and 35 is hereby withdrawn because the applicants amended the claims to delete the new matter phrase, "wherein glucose uptake does not include glucose transport".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 25 and 36 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Freeman et al., Circulation of PTO-1449, of record, for reason of record as set forth in the previous Office action dated December 4, 2008 at page 4 as applied to claims 13, 25 and 36.

Applicants' remarks are not persuasive since the same compound (pravastatin) treatment practice to the same patient type as instantly claimed is set forth n the prior art. The instant applicants' measurement of a different molecular target is reasonably a new measurement of the practice of an invention already in the prior art and that applicants have not negated the factual basis for the rejection which is that the same compound is being administered to the same patient in the cited prior art as instantly claimed and the applicants' measurement of molecular targeting does not distinguish the claimed practice over the prior art.

This the claims of new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable (See <u>In re Best</u>, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977).

The rejection made under 35 USC 102(b) is adhered to.

Claims 25 and 36 are not allowed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 31 and 33 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman et al., Circulation of PTO-1449 in view of Weiner et al. (5,643,868) and further in view of Paolisso et al., European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology, Vol. 40, No. 1, pp. 27-31 (1991), all of record, for reasons of record as set forth in the previous Office action dated December 4, 2008 at pages 5-6 as applied to claims 31-35.

Again, KSR forecloses the remarks that a **specific** teachings, suggestion, or motivation is required to support a finding of obviousness. See the recent Board decision *Ex parte Smith*, --USPQ2d--, slip op at 20, (Bd., Pat. App. & Interf, June 25, 2007) (citing KSR, 82 USPQ2d at 1396).

The rejection made under 35 USC 103(a) is adhered to.

Claims 31 and 33 are not allowed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KEVIN WEDDINGTON whose telephone number is (571)272-0587. The examiner can normally be reached on 12:30 pm - 9:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571)272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/554,974 Page 6

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KEVIN WEDDINGTON Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

/KEVIN WEDDINGTON/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614